UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,831	10/11/2001	Hanna Albert Awad		7765
7590 03/14/2011 Hanna Albert Awad			EXAMINER	
Ajaltoun			PALABRICA, RICARDO J	
Kesrouan, LEBANON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
0.66	09/973,831	AWAD, HANNA ALBERT		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Rick Palabrica	3663		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be a second or be a s	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the 2/1/00 Petition decision, the 6/25/04 Abandonment of the instant application is rescinded. The 4/29/04 Amendment After Final, which cancels claims 1 and 2, and adds new claims 3 and 4, is acknowledged and entered. Also, the 11/7/03 final Office action, is vacated and replace with this one.

Applicant's 4/29/04 Amendment is considered by the examiner as the response to the 9/12/02 non-final Office action.

2. In the 9/12/02 Office action, the examiner: a) objected to the specification under 36 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure; b) rejected the claim under 35 U.S.C. 101; and c) rejected said claim under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs.

Applicant admits in the 4/29/04 Amendment that he does not have any data or information (e.g., energy and momentum or a proton or neutron) to provide support for the generation of fission reactions that produces the so-called precious elements. Thus, the claims are not enabled because one of ordinary skill in the art will require undue experimentation to exercise the claimed invention. Also, the admission provides an adequate and sufficient proof that applicant does not possess an operative embodiment for his claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3663

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Specification

3. The application is informal in the arrangement of the specification. The

specification and claims are in improper form.

4. The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to

provide an adequate written description of the invention and as failing to adequately

teach how to make and/or use the invention, i.e., failing to provide an enabling

disclosure.

The claimed invention is a method of production of precious elements, including

gold, platinum and silver from fissions of other elements. However, there is neither an

adequate description nor enabling disclosure as to how such could be accomplished

using the applicant's invention, The reasons are as set forth in Section 4 of the 9/12/02

Office action, as further clarified in section 2 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 3663

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. There is no factual evidence to show that the invention is operative.

The reasons are as set forth in section 5 of the 9/12/02 Office action, as further clarified in section 2 above.

6. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The reasons are the same as those set forth in section 6 of the 9/12/02 Office action, as further clarified in section 2 above.

7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are vague, indefinite and incomplete and their metes and bounds cannot be determined because they fail to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. Each of the two claims is an omnibus claim.

Art Unit: 3663

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick Palabrica whose telephone number is 571-272-6880. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30, Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rick Palabrica/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663 March 10, 2011